

Loyola Marymount University - Course Evaluation Form

COURSE TITLE: Rhetorical Arts INSTRUCTOR: Moekle, Kimberly R

DISCIPLINE, COURSE NUMBER, SECTION: RHET 1000-22 CRN: 41495 COLLEGE: CF - College of Communication and Fine Arts

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES COMPLETED FOR THIS SECTION: 14 INITIAL ENROLLMENT: 19 DEPARTMENT: CMST SEMESTER AND YEAR: Fall 2019

STATEMENT	FREQUENCY OF RESPONSES						AVERAGE SCORE FOR THIS STATEMENT FOR ...				THIS STATEMENT'S UNIVERSITY-WIDE PERCENTILE BRACKETS*	
	5 SAgree	4 Agree	3 Uncertain	2 Disagree	1 SDisagree		THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES THIS DEPARTMENT CMST	ALL COURSES THIS COLLEGE CF	ALL COURSES UNIVERSITY-WIDE	(10th	- 90th)
1	3	10	1	0	0		4.14	4.33	4.38	N/A	N/A	- N/A
2	4	8	2	0	0		4.14	4.34	4.42	N/A	N/A	- N/A
3	8	6	0	0	0		4.57	4.60	4.63	N/A	N/A	- N/A
4	7	7	0	0	0		4.50	4.50	4.59	N/A	N/A	- N/A
5	7	4	2	1	0		4.21	4.38	4.52	N/A	N/A	- N/A
6	4	7	0	3	0		3.86	4.17	4.29	N/A	N/A	- N/A
7	3	3	3	4	1		3.21	3.73	3.85	N/A	N/A	- N/A
8	V Good	Good	Fair	Poor	V Poor							
	5	5	4	0	0		4.07	4.24	4.35	N/A	N/A	- N/A
9	SAgree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	SDisagree							
	0	1	0	0	0		4.00	N/A	N/A			
10	SAgree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	SDisagree							
	0	0	0	0	0		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	- N/A
Class Year	Fresh	Soph	Junior	Senior	Grad	Other						
	13	1	0	0	0	0						
GPA	< 2.0	2.0-2.49	2.5-2.99	3.0-3.49	3.5-4.0	N/A						
	0	0	0	1	1	11						
Attendance	Always	Almost Always	Usually	Occasionally	Rarely							
	4	10	0	0	0							
Major Req'd	Yes	No										
	1	13										
Core Interest	4	10										
	14	0										
Interest	SAgree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	SDisagree							
	0	1	4	6	3							
Rating Scales for scorable statements 1-10:												
	SAgree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	SDisagree							
	5	4	3	2	1							
	V Good	Good	Fair	Poor	V Poor							
	5	4	3	2	1							

KEY TO STATEMENTS

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Learning outcomes were clearly stated 2. Learning outcomes effectively addressed 3. Constructive interactions re: Instr/Student 4. Instructor accessible for discussion 5. Received feedback that improved learning 6. Challenged me to do best work 7. Increased interest in subject matter 8. Rate overall effectiveness of instruction 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 9. Optional question for local usage. 10. Optional question for local usage What is your class year? Your LMU Cumulative GPA Your Class Attendance Was this course in your major department? Was this course required by your major? Are you taking this to fulfill Core Reqts? I had a strong interest in taking this course.
---	---

NOTES: * If the average score for a particular statement in this course section falls BELOW or ABOVE its corresponding 10th or 90th percentile bracket value, then that score is among the LOWEST or HIGHEST 10% of all scores for this statement averaged across all courses university-wide.

Loyola Marymount University - Course Evaluation Form



Course Title Rhetorical Arts Term Fall 2019

Instructor Moekle, Kimberly R Course/Section RHET 1000-22


41495

F19-1303

MARKING INSTRUCTIONS

Please use a No. 2 pencil or black or blue ball point pen

Correct Mark Incorrect Marks



Please make no marks in this Area



41495101

Major(s): Pol. Sci Class Year: Freshman Sophomore Junior

Senior Graduate Other

LMU Cumulative GPA: Under 2.0 2.0 - 2.49 2.5 - 2.99 3.0 - 3.49 3.5 - 4.0 Not Applicable

Your Class Attendance: Always Almost Always Usually Occasionally Rarely

Was this course in your major department? Yes No

Was this course required by your major? Yes No

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements? Yes No

I had a strong interest in taking this course: Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

Instructions Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions

Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

1 Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated SA A U D SD

Comments

2. The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in this course SA A U D SD

Comments

3 There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students SA A U D SD

Comments

4 The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course. SA A U D SD

Comments

5 I received feedback that improved my learning in this course. SA A U D SD

Comments

6. The course challenged me to do my best work

SA A U D SD

Comments

7. My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter

SA A U D SD

Comments

8. How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction of this course?

Very Good Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Comments

9. *OPTIONAL* question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

10. *OPTIONAL* question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

11. What did you find to be most beneficial about the course?

I found the feedback and structure of this class very good, we were given ample time to complete our tasks which helped me write my best work.

12. What would have made this course more effective for you?

I don't think anything needed to be changed

Loyola Marymount University - Course Evaluation Form



Course Title Rhetorical Arts Term Fall 2019

Instructor Moekle, Kimberly R Course/Section RHET 1000-22

41495

F19-1303

MARKING INSTRUCTIONS

Please use a No. 2 pencil or black or blue ball point pen

Correct Mark Incorrect Marks



Please make no marks in this Area



41495102

Major(s): undeclared Class Year: Freshman Sophomore Junior

Senior Graduate Other

LMU Cumulative GPA: Under 2.0 2.0 - 2.49 2.5 - 2.99 3.0 - 3.49 3.5 - 4.0 Not Applicable

Your Class Attendance: Always Almost Always Usually Occasionally Rarely

Was this course in your major department? Yes No

Was this course required by your major? Yes No

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements? Yes No

I had a strong interest in taking this course: Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

Instructions Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions

Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

1 Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated SA A U D SD

Comments

2. The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in this course SA A U D SD

Comments

3 There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students. SA A U D SD

Comments

4 The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course. SA A U D SD

Comments

5. I received feedback that improved my learning in this course SA A U D SD

Comments I became much more comfortable with my writing after this class.

Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

6. The course challenged me to do my best work

SA A U D SD

Comments

7. My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter

SA A U D SD

Comments

8. How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction of this course?

Very Good Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Comments

9. *OPTIONAL* question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

10. *OPTIONAL* question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

11. What did you find to be most beneficial about the course?

The way Dr. Moekle assigned everything in chunks relieved a lot of stress and made it much easier to turn in a paper I was proud of because I had slowly been working on it throughout the course.

12. What would have made this course more effective for you?

I personally don't like speeches and they still stress me out. I feel the class is slightly more focused on writing because it's harder to practice doing speeches.

Loyola Marymount University - Course Evaluation Form



Course Title Rhetorical Arts Term Fall 2019

Instructor Moekle, Kimberly R Course/Section RHET 1000-22

41495

F19-1303

MARKING INSTRUCTIONS

Please use a No. 2 pencil or black or blue ball point pen

Correct Mark

Incorrect Marks

Please make no marks in this Area



41495103

Major(s) Theater Arts Class Year: Freshman Sophomore Junior

Senior Graduate Other

LMU Cumulative GPA Under 2.0 2.0 - 2.49 2.5 - 2.99 3.0 - 3.49 3.5 - 4.0 Not Applicable

Your Class Attendance: Always Almost Always Usually Occasionally Rarely

Was this course in your major department? Yes No

Was this course required by your major? Yes No

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements? Yes No

I had a strong interest in taking this course: Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

Instructions Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions

Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

1 Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated. SA A U D SD

Comments

Dr. Moekle thoroughly explains and outlines this class.

2 The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in this course SA A U D SD

Comments

To a degree it is dependent on the work/effort + the student puts in.

3. There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students SA A U D SD

Comments

4 The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course SA A U D SD

Comments

5. I received feedback that improved my learning in this course SA A U D SD

Comments

Strongly
Agree

Agree

Uncertain

Disagree

Strongly
Disagree

6. The course challenged me to do my best work

SA A U D SD

Comments

7 My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter

SA A U D SD

Comments

8 How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction of this course?

Very Good Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Comments

9. **OPTIONAL** question for faculty or departmental use.

SA A U D SD

Comments

10. **OPTIONAL** question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

11. What did you find to be most beneficial about the course?

Dr. Moekle is a really good teacher. she really cares about her students, and roots for us to do well. I really like how she structured her class.

12. What would have made this course more effective for you?

Maybe if we could have time to do more hands on work.

Loyola Marymount University - Course Evaluation Form



Course Title Rhetorical Arts Term Fall 2019

Instructor Moekle, Kimberly R Course/Section RHET 1000-22

41495

F19-1303

MARKING INSTRUCTIONS
 Please use a No. 2 pencil or black or blue ball point pen
 Correct Mark: Incorrect Marks:

Please make no marks in this Area

41495104

Major(s) Entrepreneurship Class Year: Freshman Sophomore Junior
 Senior Graduate Other

LMU Cumulative GPA Under 2.0 2.0 - 2.49 2.5 - 2.99 3.0 - 3.49 3.5 - 4.0 Not Applicable

Your Class Attendance Always Almost Always Usually Occasionally Rarely

Was this course in your major department? Yes No

Was this course required by your major? Yes No

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements? Yes No

I had a strong interest in taking this course: Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

Instructions Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1 Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated	SA <input type="radio"/>	A <input checked="" type="radio"/>	U <input type="radio"/>	D <input type="radio"/>	SD <input type="radio"/>
Comments					
2. The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in this course	SA <input type="radio"/>	A <input checked="" type="radio"/>	U <input type="radio"/>	D <input type="radio"/>	SD <input type="radio"/>
Comments					
3. There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students	SA <input type="radio"/>	A <input checked="" type="radio"/>	U <input type="radio"/>	D <input type="radio"/>	SD <input type="radio"/>
Comments					
4 The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course	SA <input type="radio"/>	A <input checked="" type="radio"/>	U <input type="radio"/>	D <input type="radio"/>	SD <input type="radio"/>
Comments					
5. I received feedback that improved my learning in this course.	SA <input type="radio"/>	A <input checked="" type="radio"/>	U <input type="radio"/>	D <input type="radio"/>	SD <input type="radio"/>
Comments					

Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

6. The course challenged me to do my best work

SA A U D SD

Comments

7. My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.

SA A U D SD

Comments

8. How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction of this course?

Very Good Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Comments

9. *OPTIONAL* question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

10. *OPTIONAL* question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

11. What did you find to be most beneficial about the course? *learning about giving presentations and public speaking*

12. What would have made this course more effective for you? *Always doing the homework.*

Loyola Marymount University - Course Evaluation Form



Course Title Rhetorical Arts Term Fall 2019

Instructor Moekle, Kimberly R Course/Section RHET 1000-22

41495

F19-1303

MARKING INSTRUCTIONS
 Please use a No. 2 pencil or black or blue ball point pen
 Correct Mark Incorrect Marks

Please make no marks in this Area

41495105

Major(s): Mechanical Engineering Class Year: Freshman Sophomore Junior
 Senior Graduate Other

LMU Cumulative GPA. Under 2.0 2.0 - 2.49 2.5 - 2.99 3.0 - 3.49 3.5 - 4.0 Not Applicable

Your Class Attendance: Always Almost Always Usually Occasionally Rarely

Was this course in your major department? Yes No

Was this course required by your major? Yes No

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements? Yes No

I had a strong interest in taking this course Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

Instructions Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions

Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

1 Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated SA A U D SD

Comments

2 The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in this course. SA A U D SD

Comments

3. There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students. SA A U D SD

Comments *lol meetings were very helpful*

4 The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course SA A U D SD

Comments

5. I received feedback that improved my learning in this course. SA A U D SD

Comments *Feedback was often given after the next assignment was due*

6. The course challenged me to do my best work

SA A U D SD

Comments

Assignments were for the most part spaced out nicely

7. My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter

SA A U D SD

Comments

8. How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction of this course?

Very Good Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Comments

Instructions were not always clear/listed

9. **OPTIONAL** question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

10. **OPTIONAL** question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

11. What did you find to be most beneficial about the course?

Meeting with instructor for feedback

12. What would have made this course more effective for you?

If there was less of an emphasis on social justice

Loyola Marymount University - Course Evaluation Form



Course Title Rhetorical Arts Term Fall 2019

Instructor Moekle, Kimberly R Course/Section RHET 1000-22

41495

F19-1303

MARKING INSTRUCTIONS

Please use a No. 2 pencil or black or blue ball point pen

Correct Mark Incorrect Marks

Please make no marks in this Area



41495106

Major(s) Computer Science & AIMS Class Year: Freshman Sophomore Junior

Senior Graduate Other

LMU Cumulative GPA Under 2.0 2.0 - 2.49 2.5 - 2.99 3.0 - 3.49 3.5 - 4.0 Not Applicable

Your Class Attendance: Always Almost Always Usually Occasionally Rarely

Was this course in your major department? Yes No

Was this course required by your major? Yes No

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements? Yes No

I had a strong interest in taking this course: Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

Instructions Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions

Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

1. Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated. SA A U D SD

Comments

2. The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in this course SA A U D SD

Comments

3. There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students SA A U D SD

Comments

4. The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course. SA A U D SD

Comments

5. I received feedback that improved my learning in this course. SA A U D SD

Comments

Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

6. The course challenged me to do my best work

SA A U D SD

Comments

7. My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.

SA A U D SD

Comments

8 How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction of this course?

Very Good Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Comments

9 *OPTIONAL* question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

10. *OPTIONAL* question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

11. What did you find to be most beneficial about the course?

I found it beneficial she taught vs structure of essays and speeches and helped me to be more effective in communicating opinions.

12. What would have made this course more effective for you?

Possibly less writing and more classroom discussion / Socratic seminar-type debates or analysis.

Loyola Marymount University - Course Evaluation Form



Course Title Rhetorical Arts Term Fall 2019

Instructor Moekle, Kimberly R Course/Section RHET 1000-22

41495

F19-1303

MARKING INSTRUCTIONS
 Please use a No. 2 pencil or black or blue ball point pen
 Correct Mark Incorrect Marks

Please make no marks in this Area

 41495107

Major(s) Marketing Class Year: Freshman Sophomore Junior
 Senior Graduate Other

LMU Cumulative GPA Under 2.0 2.0 - 2.49 2.5 - 2.99 3.0 - 3.49 3.5 - 4.0 Not Applicable

Your Class Attendance: Always Almost Always Usually Occasionally Rarely

Was this course in your major department? Yes No

Was this course required by your major? Yes No

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements? Yes No

I had a strong interest in taking this course: Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

Instructions Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions

Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

1. Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated. SA A U D SD

Comments

2. The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in this course. SA A U D SD

Comments

3. There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students. SA A U D SD

Comments

4. The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course. SA A U D SD

Comments

5. I received feedback that improved my learning in this course. SA A U D SD

Comments

Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

6 The course challenged me to do my best work

SA A U D SD

Comments

7. My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter

SA A U D SD

Comments

8. How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction of this course?

Very Good Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Comments

9. *OPTIONAL* question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

10. *OPTIONAL* question for faculty or departmental use.

SA A U D SD

Comments

11. What did you find to be most beneficial about the course?

- practice preparing & giving speeches

12. What would have made this course more effective for you?

- being able to explore different topics.
↳ I got bored/sick of my topic

Loyola Marymount University - Course Evaluation Form



Course Title Rhetorical Arts Term Fall 2019

Instructor Moekle, Kimberly R Course/Section RHET 1000-22


41495

F19-1303

MARKING INSTRUCTIONS

Please use a No. 2 pencil or black or blue ball point pen

Correct Mark Incorrect Marks



Please make no marks in this Area



41495108

Major(s): Film Production Class Year: Freshman Sophomore Junior

Senior Graduate Other

LMU Cumulative GPA Under 2.0 2.0 - 2.49 2.5 - 2.99 3.0 - 3.49 3.5 - 4.0 Not Applicable

Your Class Attendance: Always Almost Always Usually Occasionally Rarely

Was this course in your major department? Yes No

Was this course required by your major? Yes No

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements? Yes No

I had a strong interest in taking this course. Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

Instructions Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions

Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

1 Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated. SA A U D SD

Comments

2 The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in this course. SA A U D SD

Comments

3. There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students. SA A U D SD

Comments

4. The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course SA A U D SD

Comments

5 I received feedback that improved my learning in this course SA A U D SD

Comments

6. The course challenged me to do my best work

SA A U D SD

Comments

7. My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.

SA A U D SD

Comments

8 How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction of this course?

Very Good Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Comments | I learned more about MLA formatting than learning how to write a cohesive argument.

9. **OPTIONAL** question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

10. **OPTIONAL** question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

11. What did you find to be most beneficial about the course?

The ability to have scheduled writing conferences with the professor outside of class.

12. What would have made this course more effective for you?

Less group workshopping. I honestly believe that I would have been able to do more and better quality work if I were given more independent work time. I also think there should have been more opportunities to take notes on a subject rather than just being fed packets saying what to do.

Loyola Marymount University - Course Evaluation Form



Course Title Rhetorical Arts Term Fall 2019

Instructor Moekle, Kimberly R Course/Section RHET 1000-22

41495

F19-1303

MARKING INSTRUCTIONS

Please use a No. 2 pencil or black or blue ball point pen

Correct Mark Incorrect Marks



Please make no marks in this Area



41495109

Major(s): Economics Class Year: Freshman Sophomore Junior

Senior Graduate Other

LMU Cumulative GPA Under 2.0 2.0 - 2.49 2.5 - 2.99 3.0 - 3.49 3.5 - 4.0 Not Applicable

Your Class Attendance: Always Almost Always Usually Occasionally Rarely

Was this course in your major department? Yes No

Was this course required by your major? Yes No

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements? Yes No

I had a strong interest in taking this course: Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

Instructions Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions

Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

1 Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated. SA A U D SD

Comments The class did help work on my writing skills

2. The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in this course SA A U D SD

Comments The goals were achieved

3. There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students SA A U D SD

Comments There were very good discussions

4. The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course. SA A U D SD

Comments She replied very timely to emails

5 I received feedback that improved my learning in this course. SA A U D SD

Comments I still am clueless on aspects of this course

Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

6. The course challenged me to do my best work

SA A U D SD

Comments

The course was challenging

7. My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter

SA A U D SD

Comments

I am not a writing person

8. How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction of this course?

Very Good Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Comments

There were good and bad aspects

9. **OPTIONAL** question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

10. **OPTIONAL** question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

11. What did you find to be most beneficial about the course?

I found the new writing skills to be beneficial.

12. What would have made this course more effective for you?

More time for deadlines to produce my best work

Loyola Marymount University - Course Evaluation Form



Course Title Rhetorical Arts Term Fall 2019

Instructor Moekle, Kimberly R Course/Section RHET 1000-22

41495

F19-1303

MARKING INSTRUCTIONS
Please use a No. 2 pencil or black or blue ball point pen

Correct Mark: Incorrect Marks:

Please make no marks in this Area


 41495110

Major(s): Film Production Class Year: Freshman Sophomore Junior
 Senior Graduate Other

LMU Cumulative GPA Under 2.0 2.0 - 2.49 2.5 - 2.99 3.0 - 3.49 3.5 - 4.0 Not Applicable

Your Class Attendance Always Almost Always Usually Occasionally Rarely

Was this course in your major department? Yes No

Was this course required by your major? Yes No

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements? Yes No

I had a strong interest in taking this course. Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

Instructions Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
--	----------------	-------	-----------	----------	-------------------

1 Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated SA A U D SD

Comments

2 The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in this course SA A U D SD

Comments

3 There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students SA A U D SD

Comments

4 The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course. SA A U D SD

Comments

5 I received feedback that improved my learning in this course SA A U D SD

Comments

Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

6. The course challenged me to do my best work.

SA A U D SD

Comments

7. My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter

SA A U D SD

Comments

8. How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction of this course?

Very Good Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Comments

9 *OPTIONAL* question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

10 *OPTIONAL* question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

11. What did you find to be most beneficial about the course?

Dr. M - She rocks

12. What would have made this course more effective for you?

not taking fys @ the same time

Loyola Marymount University - Course Evaluation Form



Course Title Rhetorical Arts Term Fall 2019

Instructor Moekle, Kimberly R Course/Section RHET 1000-22

41495

F19-1303

MARKING INSTRUCTIONS

Please use a No. 2 pencil or black or blue ball point pen

Correct Mark



Incorrect Marks



Please make no marks in this Area



41495111

Major(s) Finance

Class Year:

Freshman Sophomore Junior

Senior Graduate Other

LMU Cumulative GPA. Under 2.0 2.0 - 2.49 2.5 - 2.99 3.0 - 3.49 3.5 - 4.0 Not Applicable

Your Class Attendance: Always Almost Always Usually Occasionally Rarely

Was this course in your major department? Yes No

Was this course required by your major? Yes No

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements? Yes No

I had a strong interest in taking this course Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

Instructions Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions

Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

1. Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated SA A U D SD

Comments

2. The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in this course SA A U D SD

Comments

3. There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students. SA A U D SD

Comments

4. The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course. SA A U D SD

Comments

5. I received feedback that improved my learning in this course SA A U D SD

Comments

6. The course challenged me to do my best work

SA A U D SD

Comments

7. My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.

SA A U D SD

Comments

8. How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction of this course?

Very Good Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Comments

9. *OPTIONAL* question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

10. *OPTIONAL* question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

11. What did you find to be most beneficial about the course?

Improving speaking skills.

Gaining confidence when speaking / delivering a speech

12. What would have made this course more effective for you?

- More group work / discussions
- More one-on-one meetings with the Professor.

Loyola Marymount University - Course Evaluation Form



Course Title Rhetorical Arts Term Fall 2019

Instructor Moekle, Kimberly R Course/Section RHET 1000-22

41495

F19-1303

MARKING INSTRUCTIONS

Please use a No. 2 pencil or black or blue ball point pen

Correct Mark

Incorrect Marks

Please make no marks in this Area



41495112

Major(s) Management Class Year: Freshman Sophomore Junior
 Senior Graduate Other

LMU Cumulative GPA. Under 2.0 2.0 - 2.49 2.5 - 2.99 3.0 - 3.49 3.5 - 4.0 Not Applicable

Your Class Attendance. Always Almost Always Usually Occasionally Rarely

Was this course in your major department? Yes No

Was this course required by your major? Yes No

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements? Yes No

I had a strong interest in taking this course Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

Instructions Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions

Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

1. Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated SA A U D SD

Comments

2. The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in this course SA A U D SD

Comments

3. There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students SA A U D SD

Comments

most helpful teacher I've ever had in terms of feedback/support

4. The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course SA A U D SD

Comments

very flexible & available outside of class

5. I received feedback that improved my learning in this course SA A U D SD

Comments

Strongly
Agree

Agree

Uncertain

Disagree

Strongly
Disagree

6 The course challenged me to do my best work

SA A U D SD

Comments

the structure encouraged fine tuned results

7 My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter

SA A U D SD

Comments

I got to learn about things I'd never considered! so fun!

8 How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction of this course?

Very Good Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Comments

9. **OPTIONAL** question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

Dr. Moette is great. I'd recommend her to everyone I know

10 **OPTIONAL** question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

11. What did you find to be most beneficial about the course?

each assignment being broken down into outlines, drafts, then final. kept us on track and helped us w/ feedback from peers & the prof

12. What would have made this course more effective for you?

Loyola Marymount University - Course Evaluation Form



Course Title Rhetorical Arts Term Fall 2019

Instructor Moekle, Kimberly R Course/Section RHET 1000-22

41495

F19-1303

MARKING INSTRUCTIONS
 Please use a No. 2 pencil or black or blue ball point pen
 Correct Mark Incorrect Marks

Please make no marks in this Area

41495113

Major(s): Film Production Class Year: Freshman Sophomore Junior
 Senior Graduate Other

LMU Cumulative GPA Under 2.0 2.0 - 2.49 2.5 - 2.99 3.0 - 3.49 3.5 - 4.0 Not Applicable

Your Class Attendance: Always Almost Always Usually Occasionally Rarely

Was this course in your major department? Yes No

Was this course required by your major? Yes No

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements? Yes No

I had a strong interest in taking this course: Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

Instructions Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated	SA <input type="radio"/>	A <input checked="" type="radio"/>	U <input type="radio"/>	D <input type="radio"/>	SD <input type="radio"/>
Comments					
2. The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in this course	SA <input type="radio"/>	A <input checked="" type="radio"/>	U <input type="radio"/>	D <input type="radio"/>	SD <input type="radio"/>
Comments					
3. There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students	SA <input checked="" type="radio"/>	A <input type="radio"/>	U <input type="radio"/>	D <input type="radio"/>	SD <input type="radio"/>
Comments					
4. The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course	SA <input type="radio"/>	A <input checked="" type="radio"/>	U <input type="radio"/>	D <input type="radio"/>	SD <input type="radio"/>
Comments					
5. I received feedback that improved my learning in this course	SA <input checked="" type="radio"/>	A <input type="radio"/>	U <input type="radio"/>	D <input type="radio"/>	SD <input type="radio"/>
Comments					

Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

6. The course challenged me to do my best work.

SA A U D SD

Comments

7. My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter

SA A U D SD

Comments

8. How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction of this course?

Very Good Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Comments

9. *OPTIONAL* question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

10. *OPTIONAL* question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

11. What did you find to be most beneficial about the course?

Teacher's help with our assignments and feedback to improve our papers.

12. What would have made this course more effective for you?

Less homework so I have more time to do my best work on each assignment

Loyola Marymount University - Course Evaluation Form



Course Title Rhetorical Arts Term Fall 2019

Instructor Moekle, Kimberly R Course/Section RHET 1000-22

41495

F19-1303

MARKING INSTRUCTIONS

Please use a No. 2 pencil or black or blue ball point pen

Correct Mark Incorrect Marks



Please make no marks in this Area



41495114

Major(s): Business Ent. Class Year: Freshman Sophomore Junior

Senior Graduate Other

LMU Cumulative GPA Under 2.0 2.0 - 2.49 2.5 - 2.99 3.0 - 3.49 3.5 - 4.0 Not Applicable

Your Class Attendance: Always Almost Always Usually Occasionally Rarely

Was this course in your major department? Yes No

Was this course required by your major? Yes No

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements? Yes No

I had a strong interest in taking this course. Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

Instructions Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions

Strongly Agree Agree Uncertain Disagree Strongly Disagree

1 Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated. SA A U D SD

Comments

2 The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in this course. SA A U D SD

Comments

3. There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students. SA A U D SD

Comments The instructor was easily accessible at all hours and gave great feedback.

4 The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course SA A U D SD

Comments Dr. Moekle went out of her way to be there for us, she spent hours on campus outside of office hours and outside of hours she needed to be on campus in order to help us craft the most quality papers and performances we could.

5. I received feedback that improved my learning in this course. SA A U D SD

Comments The instructor put in a lot of very helpful feedback on every paper, and even more so during office hours.

Strongly Agree

Agree

Uncertain

Disagree

Strongly Disagree

6. The course challenged me to do my best work.

SA A U D SD

Comments

Theoretically, you could get by without doing your best work in any class, this instructor just gave us ample opportunity and positive encouragement to do so.

7. My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.

SA A U D SD

Comments

I use to hate researching and writing research papers. Now, because of my teacher (and our trip to the library) I feel so much better about researching and presenting my findings.

8 How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction of this course?

Very Good Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Comments

Dr. Moettle is likely the best-if not one of the best - writing and rhetorical arts teacher there is. If the student wants to excel and learn the most they can, she gives every opportunity to. If the student would rather focus on other material, she still guides them through the course while helping them improve.

9. OPTIONAL question for faculty or departmental use.

SA A U D SD

Comments

10. OPTIONAL question for faculty or departmental use

SA A U D SD

Comments

11. What did you find to be most beneficial about the course?

Our instructor helped us feel very prepared for our major assignments and speeches. She guided us through them step by step in class periods/homework leading up to these, so that they were of our best quality and so that no one needed to pull an all nighter for a superb paper or speech. She always gave us great feedback on our writings, was clear about the criteria, and was easily accessible.

12. What would have made this course more effective for you?



Spring 2020 Course Evaluation Report for RHET 1000 29 Rhetorical Arts (Kimberly Moekle)

Project Title: **LMU Course Evaluation**

Course Audience: **18**
Responses Received: **12**
Response Ratio: **66.7%**

Subject Details

Term	Spring 2020
Course Number	71260

Report Comments

Course Details: RHET 1000 29 Rhetorical Arts
Instructor: Kimberly Moekle

On March 25, 2020, Provost Poon made an announcement "Message from the Provost on COVID-19 Student-Faculty Options," which included the following:

Student Evaluations of Teaching (SET)

Student evaluations of teaching will still be administered this semester through the usual anonymous online survey for each course (some departments will be using the online SETs for the first time). While faculty are always expected to document their excellence in teaching, especially those completing faculty service reports or going up for review, faculty can request that spring 2020 SETs not be used or referenced in their FSR or R&T reviews and may choose not to submit them with tenure/promotion materials.

<https://www.lmu.edu/coronavirus/communitymessages/messagefromtheprovestoncovid-19student-facultyoptions/>

Creation Date: **Monday, June 01, 2020**

Major1	Count	Percentage
Psychology	3	25.0%
Film, TV, and Media Studies	1	8.3%
Film and Television Production	1	8.3%
Theology	1	8.3%
Health and Human Sciences	1	8.3%
Biology	1	8.3%
Biochemistry	1	8.3%
Civil Engineering	1	8.3%
Entrepreneurship	1	8.3%
Economics	1	8.3%

Major2	Count	Percentage
Not Applicable	12	100.0%

Major3	Count	Percentage
Not Applicable	12	100.0%

Class Year	Count	Percentage
Freshman	9	75.0%
Sophomore	3	25.0%

GPA Range	Count	Percentage
3.50 - 4.00	5	41.7%
3.00 - 3.49	3	25.0%
2.00 - 2.49	3	25.0%
2.50 - 2.99	1	8.3%

Your class attendance:

Your class attendance:	
Options	Count
Always	6
Almost Always	6
Usually	0
Occasionally	0
Rarely	0

Was this course in your major department?

Was this course in your major department?	
Options	Count
Yes	0
No	12

Was this course required by your major?

Was this course required by your major?	
Options	Count
Yes	2
No	10

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements?

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements?	
Options	Count
Yes	12
No	0

I had a strong interest in taking this course:

I had a strong interest in taking this course:	
Options	Count
Strongly Agree	1
Agree	4
Uncertain	3
Disagree	4
Strongly Disagree	0

Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions.

1. Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated.		2. The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in the course.	
Options	Count	Options	Count
Strongly Agree	10	Strongly Agree	10
Agree	2	Agree	2
Uncertain	0	Uncertain	0
Disagree	0	Disagree	0
Strongly Disagree	0	Strongly Disagree	0
3. There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students.		4. The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course.	
Options	Count	Options	Count
Strongly Agree	11	Strongly Agree	12
Agree	1	Agree	0
Uncertain	0	Uncertain	0
Disagree	0	Disagree	0
Strongly Disagree	0	Strongly Disagree	0
5. I received feedback that improved my learning in this course.		6. The course challenged me to do my best work.	
Options	Count	Options	Count
Strongly Agree	11	Strongly Agree	10
Agree	1	Agree	0
Uncertain	0	Uncertain	1
Disagree	0	Disagree	1
Strongly Disagree	0	Strongly Disagree	0
7. My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.			
Options	Count		
Strongly Agree	7		
Agree	2		
Uncertain	1		
Disagree	2		
Strongly Disagree	0		

Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions. (Comments)

Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated.
Comment
[No Response]
The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in the course.
Comment
[No Response]
There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students.
Comment
[No Response]
The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course.
Comment
- Dr. Moekle is extremely accessible and easy to communicate with.
I received feedback that improved my learning in this course.
Comment
[No Response]
The course challenged me to do my best work.
Comment
[No Response]
My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.
Comment
[No Response]

Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions.

Question	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES FOR DEPARTMENT (CMST)	ALL COURSES FOR COLLEGE (CF)	ALL COURSE FOR SUBJ (RHET)
	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated.	4.83	4.67	4.62	4.53
The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in the course.	4.83	4.61	4.58	4.45
There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students.	4.92	4.60	4.62	4.54
The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course.	5.00	4.67	4.64	4.60
I received feedback that improved my learning in this course.	4.92	4.50	4.53	4.44
The course challenged me to do my best work.	4.58	4.47	4.50	4.36
My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.	4.17	4.33	4.40	3.88

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.	
Options	Count
Very Good	11
Good	1
Fair	0
Poor	0
Very Poor	0

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course. (Comments)

Comment
- She's a really good teacher but she tries to do too much sometimes almost like a high school teacher.
- Best Proff ever!

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.

Question	THIS SECTION	ALL COURSES FOR DEPARTMENT (CMST)	ALL COURSES FOR COLLEGE (CF)	ALL COURSE FOR SUBJ (RHET)
	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.	4.92	4.59	4.58	4.52

What did you find to be most beneficial about the course?

Comments
Dr. Moekle gave a lot of great feedback and was really understanding of our situation
I love you. This course was so incredible I would take it again if I could.
A very strong connection to students, going out of her way to connect and interact. This allowed for a relationship that created a better working environment as well as students being more open to feedback. She also has a strong insight into writing and the topic in general, encouraging the students to learn.
She truly cares about her students and makes sure we all do our best!
cared so much for each of her students and shared her love for the subject with us.
The sense of community you built in the class and the way you handled the transition to online classes.
Dr. Moekle would have one–om–one meetings with us to look over assignments and to make improvements. I learned a lot in these meetings.
The feedback and one on one help that I received for all of my assignments helped me progress with my speaking and writing.
Your interactions with students.
Excellent breakdown of new material. Course was very effective in improving my writing and speech skills
She shows she really cares about all her students and that she wants them to succeed.

What would have made this course more effective for you?

Comments
Getting more constructive feedback in the beginning of the semester rather than towards the end.
More Bart <3
self-review was often employed, though guided, I felt that the exercise was less helpful than a simple peer review.
multiple different options for our subjects
A little more focus on speaking, but that was difficult considering we were online for some of the semester.
More, smaller speaking assignments.
Everything about this course was effective for my learning. I have no complaints.
IDK, at least with regard to what you can change.
no mandatory outlines



Fall 2020 Course Evaluation Report for RHET 1000 04 Rhetorical Arts (Kimberly Moekle)

Project Title: **LMU Course Evaluation**

Course Audience: **19**
Responses Received: **12**
Response Ratio: **63.2%**

Report Comments

Term: Fall 2020
Course Details: RHET 1000 04 Rhetorical Arts
Course Number: 42256
Instructor: Kimberly Moekle

[Link to Report Terms & Definitions](#)

Creation Date: **Monday, December 21, 2020**

Major1	Count	Percentage
Business Admin Undeclared	5	41.7%
Management and Leadership	1	8.3%
Studio Arts	1	8.3%
Theatre Arts	1	8.3%
Liberal Arts Non-Degree NX	1	8.3%
Biology	1	8.3%
English	1	8.3%
Film and Television Production	1	8.3%

Major2	Count	Percentage
Not Applicable	12	100.0%

Major3	Count	Percentage
Not Applicable	12	100.0%

Class Year	Count	Percentage
Freshman	12	100.0%

GPA Range	Count	Percentage
Not Available	11	91.7%
0.00 - 1.99	1	8.3%

Your class attendance:

Your class attendance:	
Options	Count
Always	9
Almost Always	3
Usually	0
Occasionally	0
Rarely	0

Was this course in your major department?

Was this course in your major department?	
Options	Count
Yes	0
No	12

Was this course required by your major?

Was this course required by your major?	
Options	Count
Yes	3
No	9

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements?

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements?	
Options	Count
Yes	12
No	0

I had a strong interest in taking this course:

I had a strong interest in taking this course:	
Options	Count
Strongly Agree	0
Agree	4
Uncertain	3
Disagree	5
Strongly Disagree	0

Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions.

1. Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated.		2. The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in the course.	
Options	Count	Options	Count
Strongly Agree	8	Strongly Agree	8
Agree	4	Agree	4
Uncertain	0	Uncertain	0
Disagree	0	Disagree	0
Strongly Disagree	0	Strongly Disagree	0
3. There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students.		4. The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course.	
Options	Count	Options	Count
Strongly Agree	8	Strongly Agree	10
Agree	4	Agree	2
Uncertain	0	Uncertain	0
Disagree	0	Disagree	0
Strongly Disagree	0	Strongly Disagree	0
5. I received feedback that improved my learning in this course.		6. The course challenged me to do my best work.	
Options	Count	Options	Count
Strongly Agree	9	Strongly Agree	8
Agree	3	Agree	4
Uncertain	0	Uncertain	0
Disagree	0	Disagree	0
Strongly Disagree	0	Strongly Disagree	0
7. My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.			
Options	Count		
Strongly Agree	3		
Agree	4		
Uncertain	3		
Disagree	1		
Strongly Disagree	0		

Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions. (Comments)

Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated.
Comment
[No Response]
The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in the course.
Comment
[No Response]
There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students.
Comment
[No Response]
The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course.
Comment
[No Response]
I received feedback that improved my learning in this course.
Comment
[No Response]
The course challenged me to do my best work.
Comment
[No Response]
My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.
Comment
[No Response]

Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions.

Question	THIS SECTION			ALL COURSES FOR SUBJECT (RHET)		
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median
Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated.	4.67	0.49	5.00	4.70	0.54	5.00
The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in the course.	4.67	0.49	5.00	4.68	0.56	5.00
There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students.	4.67	0.49	5.00	4.71	0.63	5.00
The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course.	4.83	0.39	5.00	4.74	0.55	5.00
I received feedback that improved my learning in this course.	4.75	0.45	5.00	4.71	0.60	5.00
The course challenged me to do my best work.	4.67	0.49	5.00	4.60	0.68	5.00
My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.	3.82	0.98	4.00	4.29	0.98	5.00

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.	
Options	Count
Very Good	9
Good	3
Fair	0
Poor	0
Very Poor	0

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course. (Comments)

Comment
- Dr. Moekle was easily the most helpful English teacher I've ever had when it comes to helping my writing!

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.

Question	THIS SECTION			ALL COURSES FOR SUBJECT (RHET)		
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median
How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.	4.75	0.45	5.00	4.72	0.60	5.00

What did you find to be most beneficial about the course?

Comments
Enthusiastic professor who made an effort to care about everyone.
Dr. Moekle was very passionate about the class and made students want to do well. She also tried hard to make the course more effective for students by going into her own time to help those of us with questions/concerns.
My professor did a great job guiding me through all of the assignments when I had questions, and was very willing to work with the students. She really cared about her class and it was a very welcoming environment.
learning how to become more poise when giving presentations.
Just how encouraging and helpful she was with our writing.
Dr. Moekle obviously cares immensely about her students and ensures they have everything they need to succeed. She was always present and catering to any issues and met individually with students several times throughout the semester to guarantee everyone was on the right track. It was helpful to me personally to have such individualized notes that we could discuss – person to person – that were useful in improving my skills and understanding.
She truly cares about her students and it shows through her teaching.
Lessons and instruction that improved our transition from brainstorming to then actually writing information.

What would have made this course more effective for you?

Comments
Zoom was tough. I would say that for online learning figuring out a better way to play video over zoom would be ideal. Otherwise, very good course!
I think the course could be done in under an hour per class.
It was perfect for me, I have nothing to complain about.
Having in person class because it was difficult to communicate as effectively through a computer screen
If I chose a better topic to work on, so it was my own fault.
I only wish we were in person!
N/A



Fall 2020 Course Evaluation Report for RHET 1000 49 RA: Spking/Wrtng for Socl Jstc (Kimberly Moekle)

Project Title: **LMU Course Evaluation**

Course Audience: **17**
Responses Received: **15**
Response Ratio: **88.2%**

Report Comments

Term: Fall 2020
Course Details: RHET 1000 49 RA: Spking/Wrtng for Socl Jstc
Course Number: 46052
Instructor: Kimberly Moekle

[Link to Report Terms & Definitions](#)

Creation Date: **Monday, December 21, 2020**

Major1	Count	Percentage
Journalism	2	13.3%
Physics	1	6.7%
Mechanical Engineering	1	6.7%
Political Science	1	6.7%
Women's and Gender Studies	1	6.7%
Theatre Arts	1	6.7%
Psychology	1	6.7%
Marketing	1	6.7%
Business Admin Undeclared	1	6.7%
African-American Studies	1	6.7%
Accounting	1	6.7%
Liberal Studies	1	6.7%
Finance	1	6.7%
Film and Television Production	1	6.7%

Major2	Count	Percentage
Not Applicable	15	100.0%

Major3	Count	Percentage
Not Applicable	15	100.0%

Class Year	Count	Percentage
Freshman	15	100.0%

GPA Range	Count	Percentage
Not Available	12	80.0%
3.50 - 4.00	2	13.3%
2.50 - 2.99	1	6.7%

Your class attendance:

Your class attendance:	
Options	Count
Always	12
Almost Always	3
Usually	0
Occasionally	0
Rarely	0

Was this course in your major department?

Was this course in your major department?	
Options	Count
Yes	0
No	15

Was this course required by your major?

Was this course required by your major?	
Options	Count
Yes	1
No	14

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements?

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements?	
Options	Count
Yes	15
No	0

I had a strong interest in taking this course:

I had a strong interest in taking this course:	
Options	Count
Strongly Agree	5
Agree	3
Uncertain	5
Disagree	1
Strongly Disagree	1

Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions.

1. Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated.		2. The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in the course.	
Options	Count	Options	Count
Strongly Agree	14	Strongly Agree	13
Agree	1	Agree	2
Uncertain	0	Uncertain	0
Disagree	0	Disagree	0
Strongly Disagree	0	Strongly Disagree	0
3. There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students.		4. The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course.	
Options	Count	Options	Count
Strongly Agree	13	Strongly Agree	15
Agree	2	Agree	0
Uncertain	0	Uncertain	0
Disagree	0	Disagree	0
Strongly Disagree	0	Strongly Disagree	0
5. I received feedback that improved my learning in this course.		6. The course challenged me to do my best work.	
Options	Count	Options	Count
Strongly Agree	14	Strongly Agree	13
Agree	1	Agree	1
Uncertain	0	Uncertain	1
Disagree	0	Disagree	0
Strongly Disagree	0	Strongly Disagree	0
7. My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.			
Options	Count		
Strongly Agree	13		
Agree	1		
Uncertain	0		
Disagree	0		
Strongly Disagree	1		

Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions. (Comments)

Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated.
Comment
[No Response]
The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in the course.
Comment
[No Response]
There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students.
Comment
- Dr. Moekle was AMAZING at connecting with her students, and giving good, constructive feedback
The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course.
Comment
[No Response]
I received feedback that improved my learning in this course.
Comment
[No Response]
The course challenged me to do my best work.
Comment
[No Response]
My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.
Comment
[No Response]

Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions.

Question	THIS SECTION			ALL COURSES FOR SUBJECT (RHET)		
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median
Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated.	4.93	0.26	5.00	4.70	0.54	5.00
The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in the course.	4.87	0.35	5.00	4.68	0.56	5.00
There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students.	4.87	0.35	5.00	4.71	0.63	5.00
The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course.	5.00	0.00	5.00	4.74	0.55	5.00
I received feedback that improved my learning in this course.	4.93	0.26	5.00	4.71	0.60	5.00
The course challenged me to do my best work.	4.80	0.56	5.00	4.60	0.68	5.00
My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.	4.67	1.05	5.00	4.29	0.98	5.00

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.	
Options	Count
Very Good	15
Good	0
Fair	0
Poor	0
Very Poor	0

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course. (Comments)

Comment
- Teacher outlined objectives in a straightforward way and helped students to meet these objectives.
- best teacher in the world
- The best professor out of all my professors this semester.
- Amazing!

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.

Question	THIS SECTION			ALL COURSES FOR SUBJECT (RHET)		
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median
How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.	5.00	0.00	5.00	4.72	0.60	5.00

What did you find to be most beneficial about the course?

Comments
The partitioning of the semester-long project, which allowed for feedback between each segment, and the in class discussion.
Really learned a lot, could see a significant difference in my work from the beginning of the semester to the end. Prof was amazing and encouraging, went above and beyond in cultivating a caring classroom environment even virtually
EVERYTHING!! Dr. Moekle was beyond amazing. All her assignments were extremely relevant and informative. She was SO helpful and understanding of students' time, mental health, and energy. She always made herself available to help students no matter what.
Professor Moekle really cared about us as students and that made the course fun and made it so everyone was motivated by her great energy.
One-on-one meetings with the professor, feedback on major assignments
Dr. Moekle had our best interest at heart and that was very obvious through her teaching. She made class feel like a safe space and it is really the only class I am not afraid to raise my hand or talk in.
Professor Moekle's guidance
Dr. Moekle is one of the kindest and most caring teachers I have ever had. She is a personal role model and I wish she could teach all my English/writing classes.
Office hours and accessibility
She is always there if you needed anything. She cared so we, the students, cared.
She was extremely clear, and extremely open to new ideas. She treated her students like family, allowing us to vent when we needed to vent and respected our time
The way Dr. Moekle structured her assignments kept us on track and allowed us to succeed in the course while still learning.
Clear explanation of assignment and always wanting to help you do the best. She really cares about the students and their well-being outside of class.
public speaking experience

What would have made this course more effective for you?

Comments
Being in person, I suppose. Brightspace is a little irritating, but that is independent from this class and more of a school-wide problem. I would prefer Canvas.
not much!
Nothing :) 10/10
n/a
N/A
The only thing that would make this class better was if her comments on papers/projects reflected the grade she gave a little bit more accurately. A couple times her comments or conferences made me think she gave me a much higher grade than she actually did.
More writing activities
Shorter class blocks
Nothing she was perfect.
Nothing much.
NA
Honestly, it was super effective for me. There's nothing I would change.
Literally nothing. She was so clear and wants everyone to the absolute best work they can.
n/a



Spring 2021 Course Evaluation Report for RHET 1000 49 Rhetorical Arts (Kimberly Moekle)

Project Title: **LMU Course Evaluation**

Course Audience: **18**
Responses Received: **11**
Response Ratio: **61.1%**

Report Comments

Term: Spring 2021
Course Details: RHET 1000 49 Rhetorical Arts
Course Number: 75784
Instructor: Kimberly Moekle

[Link to Report Terms & Definitions](#)

Creation Date: **Thursday, May 13, 2021**

Major1	Count	Percentage
Entrepreneurship	2	18.2%
Screenwriting	1	9.1%
Mechanical Engineering	1	9.1%
Theatre Arts	1	9.1%
Studio Arts	1	9.1%
Liberal Arts Undeclared	1	9.1%
Finance	1	9.1%
Business Admin Undeclared	1	9.1%
Humanities	1	9.1%
Health and Human Sciences	1	9.1%

Major2	Count	Percentage
Not Applicable	10	90.9%
Dance	1	9.1%

Major3	Count	Percentage
Not Applicable	11	100.0%

Class Year	Count	Percentage
Freshman	8	72.7%
Junior	1	9.1%
Sophomore	2	18.2%

GPA Range	Count	Percentage
3.50 - 4.00	7	63.6%
3.00 - 3.49	3	27.3%
Not Available	1	9.1%

Your class attendance:

Your class attendance:	
Options	Count
Always	4
Almost Always	7
Usually	0
Occasionally	0
Rarely	0

Was this course in your major department?

Was this course in your major department?	
Options	Count
Yes	1
No	10

Was this course required by your major?

Was this course required by your major?	
Options	Count
Yes	2
No	9

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements?

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements?	
Options	Count
Yes	11
No	0

I had a strong interest in taking this course:

I had a strong interest in taking this course:	
Options	Count
Strongly Agree	5
Agree	1
Uncertain	3
Disagree	2
Strongly Disagree	0

Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions.

1. Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated.		2. The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in the course.	
Options	Count	Options	Count
Strongly Agree	11	Strongly Agree	11
Agree	0	Agree	0
Uncertain	0	Uncertain	0
Disagree	0	Disagree	0
Strongly Disagree	0	Strongly Disagree	0
3. There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students.		4. The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course.	
Options	Count	Options	Count
Strongly Agree	11	Strongly Agree	11
Agree	0	Agree	0
Uncertain	0	Uncertain	0
Disagree	0	Disagree	0
Strongly Disagree	0	Strongly Disagree	0
5. I received feedback that improved my learning in this course.		6. The course challenged me to do my best work.	
Options	Count	Options	Count
Strongly Agree	11	Strongly Agree	11
Agree	0	Agree	0
Uncertain	0	Uncertain	0
Disagree	0	Disagree	0
Strongly Disagree	0	Strongly Disagree	0
7. My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.			
Options	Count		
Strongly Agree	10		
Agree	0		
Uncertain	1		
Disagree	0		
Strongly Disagree	0		

Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions. (Comments)

Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated.
Comment
[No Response]
The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in the course.
Comment
[No Response]
There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students.
Comment
[No Response]
The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course.
Comment
[No Response]
I received feedback that improved my learning in this course.
Comment
- Dr. M gave so much good feedback and thoroughly went over it with us, she is a superhero!!!
The course challenged me to do my best work.
Comment
[No Response]
My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.
Comment
[No Response]

Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions.

Question	THIS SECTION			ALL COURSES FOR SUBJECT (RHET)		
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median
Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated.	5.00	0.00	5.00	4.58	0.70	5.00
The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in the course.	5.00	0.00	5.00	4.54	0.70	5.00
There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students.	5.00	0.00	5.00	4.53	0.85	5.00
The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course.	5.00	0.00	5.00	4.61	0.74	5.00
I received feedback that improved my learning in this course.	5.00	0.00	5.00	4.52	0.80	5.00
The course challenged me to do my best work.	5.00	0.00	5.00	4.34	0.94	5.00
My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.	4.82	0.60	5.00	3.99	1.18	4.00

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.	
Options	Count
Very Good	11
Good	0
Fair	0
Poor	0
Very Poor	0

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course. (Comments)

Comment
- The absolute best professor I have ever come across
- Dr. Moekle overcame the challenges of teaching a rhetoric and speaking class online and created a welcoming atmosphere where we all felt safe to take risks and grow.

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.

Question	THIS SECTION			ALL COURSES FOR SUBJECT (RHET)		
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median
How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.	5.00	0.00	5.00	4.52	0.82	5.00

What did you find to be most beneficial about the course?

Comments
THE STRUCTURE!!!! You can tell Dr. M put her whole heart and effort into doing this, keeping her students in mind. She paced the work so well that it was impossible to fall behind. Also, having so many opportunities to improve our work and take things slowly made for a really stress-free class.
The feedback we received for pretty much all of our work was very helpful.
Professor Moekle is the sweetest teacher ever! She knows when you are struggling and is always there to help you or give you an extension if needed!
She helped us to do our best in this course.
The many breakout rooms brought the class together very closely. Dr. Moekle's style of teaching made the class consistently interesting and she herself made the class come together more.
The amount of guidance we received from Dr. M in rubrics, drafts, and conferences. Also, the ability to create real friendships in the course and support one another's growth in the class.
I think the conferences for the research paper and research proposal were very helpful because we got to talk more in-depth about what was good and what I could change about these essays. I also liked how the class was paced and how we had small assignments each week to keep us from procrastinating when the final assignment was due.
Everything was beneficial about the course; we learned speaking and writing skills. These skills can help a lot in our daily lives.
learning about environmental racism in many situations around the world
I found the writing and speaking resources very helpful and I've been using them in my other classes. Also, I learned a lot from collaborating with my classmates in the workshops, and from Dr. Moekle's feedback and advice on individual assignments.

What would have made this course more effective for you?

Comments
I wish this class was in person because I learn best that way, but that couldn't be helped this time around.
no time difference.
Not much!
Nothing can make something perfect like this class better.
Doing it in person would have made this class so much better. That's all.
I think this class would have been much better in person. We would have practiced speaking in front of a real audience and controlling our nerves in a crowd.
I think that having another conference for the persuasive speech to discuss what is working and what isn't could also be helpful.
Less breakout rooms; a lot of my breakout rooms included people not doing the work they were supposed to do. I would say more independent work.
Nothing that I can think of on Dr. Moekle's part – she's awesome and made RHET 1000 an enjoyable and effective learning experience for me.



Fall 2021 Course Evaluation Report for RHET 1000 13 RA:Spking/Wrtng for Socl Jstc (Kimberly Moekle)

Project Title: **LMU Course Evaluation**

Course Audience: **16**
Responses Received: **10**
Response Ratio: **62.5%**

Report Comments

Term: Fall 2021
Course Details: RHET 1000 13 RA:Spking/Wrtng for Socl Jstc
Course Number: 43315
Instructor: Kimberly Moekle

[Link to Report Terms & Definitions](#)

Creation Date: **Tuesday, December 21, 2021**

Major1	Count	Percentage
Marketing	3	30.0%
Psychology	2	20.0%
Liberal Arts Undeclared	1	10.0%
Biology	1	10.0%
Business Admin Undeclared	1	10.0%
Entrepreneurship	1	10.0%
Environmental Studies	1	10.0%

Major2	Count	Percentage
Not Applicable	10	100.0%

Major3	Count	Percentage
Not Applicable	10	100.0%

Class Year	Count	Percentage
Freshman	10	100.0%

GPA Range	Count	Percentage
Not Available	9	90.0%
2.00 - 2.49	1	10.0%

Your class attendance:

Your class attendance:	
Options	Count
Always	9
Almost Always	1
Usually	0
Occasionally	0
Rarely	0

Was this course in your major department?

Was this course in your major department?	
Options	Count
Yes	0
No	10

Was this course required by your major?

Was this course required by your major?	
Options	Count
Yes	0
No	10

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements?

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements?	
Options	Count
Yes	10
No	0

I had a strong interest in taking this course:

I had a strong interest in taking this course:	
Options	Count
Strongly Agree	3
Agree	4
Uncertain	2
Disagree	0
Strongly Disagree	1

Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions.

1. Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated.		2. The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in the course.	
Options	Count	Options	Count
Strongly Agree	10	Strongly Agree	10
Agree	0	Agree	0
Uncertain	0	Uncertain	0
Disagree	0	Disagree	0
Strongly Disagree	0	Strongly Disagree	0
3. There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students.		4. The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course.	
Options	Count	Options	Count
Strongly Agree	10	Strongly Agree	10
Agree	0	Agree	0
Uncertain	0	Uncertain	0
Disagree	0	Disagree	0
Strongly Disagree	0	Strongly Disagree	0
5. I received feedback that improved my learning in this course.		6. The course challenged me to do my best work.	
Options	Count	Options	Count
Strongly Agree	10	Strongly Agree	10
Agree	0	Agree	0
Uncertain	0	Uncertain	0
Disagree	0	Disagree	0
Strongly Disagree	0	Strongly Disagree	0
7. My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.			
Options	Count		
Strongly Agree	10		
Agree	0		
Uncertain	0		
Disagree	0		
Strongly Disagree	0		

Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions. (Comments)

Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated.
Comment
[No Response]
The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in the course.
Comment
[No Response]
There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students.
Comment
[No Response]
The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course.
Comment
[No Response]
I received feedback that improved my learning in this course.
Comment
[No Response]
The course challenged me to do my best work.
Comment
[No Response]
My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.
Comment
[No Response]

Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions.

Question	THIS SECTION			ALL COURSES FOR SUBJECT (RHET)		
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median
Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated.	5.00	0.00	5.00	4.60	0.65	5.00
The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in the course.	5.00	0.00	5.00	4.61	0.64	5.00
There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students.	5.00	0.00	5.00	4.67	0.66	5.00
The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course.	5.00	0.00	5.00	4.70	0.60	5.00
I received feedback that improved my learning in this course.	5.00	0.00	5.00	4.65	0.66	5.00
The course challenged me to do my best work.	5.00	0.00	5.00	4.55	0.70	5.00
My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.	5.00	0.00	5.00	4.31	0.95	5.00

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.	
Options	Count
Very Good	8
Good	1
Fair	0
Poor	0
Very Poor	0

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course. (Comments)

Comment
[No Response]

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.

Question	THIS SECTION			ALL COURSES FOR SUBJECT (RHET)		
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median
How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.	4.89	0.33	5.00	4.67	0.63	5.00

What did you find to be most beneficial about the course?

Comments
she made it very clear what she expected from us and made the work load extremely manageable
Dr. M made herself absolutely available to her students and felt very personable. a wonderful professor
The teacher's enthusiasm about the course.
Dr. Moekle's attentiveness and genuine care for students make the course very effective. She's willing to give step-by-step instructions if needed. Learning how to cite and format essays were very helpful.
the way the assignments were earlier than other classes. So our workload wasn't as bad as close to the semester.
The instructor was the best professor I have ever had.
Everything
Dr. Moekle sets up all the assignments to help with the next one so by the end of the semester, we are well prepared and not stressed with work for her class.

What would have made this course more effective for you?

Comments
When conferencing about papers I didn't get much negative feedback but still got Bs on most of my papers
n/a
Practicing public speaking more before our big speeches, so we could get helpful feedback before large assignments
I have no suggestions. This course was very effective.



Fall 2021 Course Evaluation Report for RHET 1000 51 RA: Spking/Wrtng for Socl Jstc (Kimberly Moekle)

Project Title: **LMU Course Evaluation**

Course Audience: **19**
Responses Received: **14**
Response Ratio: **73.7%**

Report Comments

Term: Fall 2021
Course Details: RHET 1000 51 RA: Spking/Wrtng for Socl Jstc
Course Number: 47176
Instructor: Kimberly Moekle

[Link to Report Terms & Definitions](#)

Creation Date: **Tuesday, December 21, 2021**

Major1	Count	Percentage
Psychology	2	14.3%
Liberal Arts Non-Degree NX	1	7.1%
International Relations	1	7.1%
Management and Leadership	1	7.1%
Screenwriting	1	7.1%
Political Science	1	7.1%
Health and Human Sciences	1	7.1%
Entrepreneurship	1	7.1%
Economics	1	7.1%
Business Admin Undeclared	1	7.1%
Finance	1	7.1%
Film, TV, and Media Studies	1	7.1%
Film and Television Production	1	7.1%

Major2	Count	Percentage
Not Applicable	14	100.0%

Major3	Count	Percentage
Not Applicable	14	100.0%

Class Year	Count	Percentage
Freshman	14	100.0%

GPA Range	Count	Percentage
Not Available	13	92.9%
3.50 - 4.00	1	7.1%

Your class attendance:

Your class attendance:	
Options	Count
Always	8
Almost Always	6
Usually	0
Occasionally	0
Rarely	0

Was this course in your major department?

Was this course in your major department?	
Options	Count
Yes	2
No	12

Was this course required by your major?

Was this course required by your major?	
Options	Count
Yes	2
No	12

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements?

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements?	
Options	Count
Yes	14
No	0

I had a strong interest in taking this course:

I had a strong interest in taking this course:	
Options	Count
Strongly Agree	2
Agree	7
Uncertain	4
Disagree	1
Strongly Disagree	0

Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions.

1. Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated.		2. The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in the course.	
Options	Count	Options	Count
Strongly Agree	8	Strongly Agree	10
Agree	6	Agree	3
Uncertain	0	Uncertain	0
Disagree	0	Disagree	0
Strongly Disagree	0	Strongly Disagree	0
3. There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students.		4. The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course.	
Options	Count	Options	Count
Strongly Agree	10	Strongly Agree	12
Agree	3	Agree	2
Uncertain	0	Uncertain	0
Disagree	0	Disagree	0
Strongly Disagree	0	Strongly Disagree	0
5. I received feedback that improved my learning in this course.		6. The course challenged me to do my best work.	
Options	Count	Options	Count
Strongly Agree	12	Strongly Agree	11
Agree	2	Agree	3
Uncertain	0	Uncertain	0
Disagree	0	Disagree	0
Strongly Disagree	0	Strongly Disagree	0
7. My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.			
Options	Count		
Strongly Agree	9		
Agree	4		
Uncertain	1		
Disagree	0		
Strongly Disagree	0		

Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions. (Comments)

Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated.
Comment
- The syllabus provided clear information about the semester, and we went over the document as a class, in case there were any questions.
The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in the course.
Comment
- Everyone excelled at the subject matter with Dr. Moekle's help.
There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students.
Comment
- I met with Dr. Moekle several times to work on assignments. She also scheduled meetings with every student one-on-one over Zoom to help them with outlines, papers, and speeches.
The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course.
Comment
- Dr. M was available after class and during office hours for help.
I received feedback that improved my learning in this course.
Comment
- Dr. M worked with me once a day for four weeks to edit my annotated bibliography submissions to support me in my understanding of the content.
The course challenged me to do my best work.
Comment
- I was driven to do my best work in this class and produced a final research paper that I am proud of.
My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.
Comment
- Dr. Moekle actually inspired me to explore a minor in Environmental Studies.
- After learning more about environmental racism I am determined to continue exploring this issue.

Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions.

Question	THIS SECTION			ALL COURSES FOR SUBJECT (RHET)		
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median
Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated.	4.57	0.51	5.00	4.60	0.65	5.00
The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in the course.	4.77	0.44	5.00	4.61	0.64	5.00
There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students.	4.77	0.44	5.00	4.67	0.66	5.00
The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course.	4.86	0.36	5.00	4.70	0.60	5.00
I received feedback that improved my learning in this course.	4.86	0.36	5.00	4.65	0.66	5.00
The course challenged me to do my best work.	4.79	0.43	5.00	4.55	0.70	5.00
My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.	4.57	0.65	5.00	4.31	0.95	5.00

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.	
Options	Count
Very Good	11
Good	2
Fair	0
Poor	0
Very Poor	0

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course. (Comments)

Comment
- This class has helped to greatly improve my writing.
- Dr. M was supportive and knew we could all be successful in her class. She pushed us to do our best because she knew we could.

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.

Question	THIS SECTION			ALL COURSES FOR SUBJECT (RHET)		
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median
How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.	4.85	0.38	5.00	4.67	0.63	5.00

What did you find to be most beneficial about the course?

Comments
I enjoyed the drafts with feedback required before the final papers.
I liked how everything was broken up, made it easier to write effectively
The way every assignment prepared us for the final assignment and the professor hosted one-on-one writing conferences before big due dates.
Dr. Moekle wanted to see you succeed. She was very patient and willing to work with you one on one to work through any frustrations or setbacks for our assignments.
Was incredibly approachable and truly wanted the best for her students and was always willing to help to see you succeed.
Going into this course, I was not a fan of rhetorical arts, but everything in this class was so beneficial that I have gained a new love for rhetorical analysis, writing, and speaking. I found the class time to be very fun, while also provoking learning. Dr. Moekle spreading out the assignments was very beneficial to me as it allowed me to stay organized, stay on top of my work, and took away a lot of stress. I also thought the pacing of this class was very helpful.
Very fun class :D
The overall theme of this course (social justice with a focus in climate injustice and environmental racism) was taught through the assignments we had, which was not only beneficial to writing and speaking exercises/projects, but also allowed all students to be able to learn something new along the way that held our interest and allowed us to feel empowered in what we were doing the class work for. Also, Dr. Moekle cares a lot about her students, and I feel like I speak for most in that it is extremely heartwarming to have a professor call and know you by name.
Helped me improve my writing and public speaking abilities, lots of peer editing time
The most beneficial part about this course was the one-on-one conferences we had before our proposals and our final paper.
This was a very good course, Great professor!
The way the assignments were spread out over the semester; we would work on one aspect of our research project every week so that by the end, all we had to do was piece it together.
Her care towards us made our life easier

What would have made this course more effective for you?

Comments
If attendance wasn't graded that would be great because my reoccurring sickness has completely changed my grade.
Nothing! Dr. Moekle was great and so helpful, as were my classmates.
N/A
If assignment descriptions and explanations or expectations for minor assignments were available on Brightspace, not just given orally during class.
N/a
Nothing. I enjoyed every part of the class. The class atmosphere, amount of work, and subject matter were all great.
Everything !!!!
It's clear that Dr. Moekle is well-versed in teaching this course, but also in the theme of climate injustice, environmental racism, and so on. The only thing that would've made this course more effective would have been just a bit more time dedicated towards public speaking (I believe we spent some time on it, a few class periods and a few chapter readings), but I feel like the main focus was on the writing. That was still great, but I think it increased anxieties and lessened knowing how to prepare for a speech in general. It was clear to all of us that every assignment had prepared us fully to complete everything well, but learning how to prepare for a speech in general would have also helped prepare us for other classes and professors asking for speech projects, in which they may not structure their curriculum as well as Dr. Moekle, making preparing for a speech in their class much more daunting and unknown. So, learning how to prepare for a speech and prepare it in general would be beneficial outside just Dr. Moekle's classroom too.
More of a focus on writing
I loved this course. Everything we did in this class was effective for me.
Nothing great professor!
None



Spring 2022 Course Evaluation Report for RHET 1000 34 Rhetorical Arts (Kimberly Moekle)

Project Title: **LMU Course Evaluation**

Course Audience: **19**
Responses Received: **18**
Response Ratio: **94.7%**

Report Comments

Term: Spring 2022
Course Details: RHET 1000 34 Rhetorical Arts
Course Number: 73256
Instructor: Kimberly Moekle

[Link to Report Terms & Definitions](#)

Creation Date: **Wednesday, May 11, 2022**

Major1	Count	Percentage
Health and Human Sciences	4	22.2%
Civil Engineering	2	11.1%
Mechanical Engineering	2	11.1%
Management and Leadership	1	5.6%
Political Science	1	5.6%
Studio Arts	1	5.6%
Screenwriting	1	5.6%
Liberal Arts Undeclared	1	5.6%
Communication Studies	1	5.6%
Business Admin Undeclared	1	5.6%
English	1	5.6%
Applied Mathematics	1	5.6%
Film and Television Production	1	5.6%

Major2	Count	Percentage
Not Applicable	18	100.0%

Major3	Count	Percentage
Not Applicable	18	100.0%

Class Year	Count	Percentage
Freshman	16	88.9%
Sophomore	2	11.1%

GPA Range	Count	Percentage
3.50 - 4.00	8	44.4%
3.00 - 3.49	4	22.2%
0.00 - 1.99	3	16.7%
2.50 - 2.99	2	11.1%
2.00 - 2.49	1	5.6%

Your class attendance:

Your class attendance:	
Options	Count
Always	7
Almost Always	9
Usually	1
Occasionally	0
Rarely	0

Was this course in your major department?

Was this course in your major department?	
Options	Count
Yes	1
No	17

Was this course required by your major?

Was this course required by your major?	
Options	Count
Yes	3
No	15

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements?

Are you taking this course to fulfill Core requirements?	
Options	Count
Yes	18
No	0

I had a strong interest in taking this course:

I had a strong interest in taking this course:	
Options	Count
Strongly Agree	1
Agree	6
Uncertain	8
Disagree	1
Strongly Disagree	2

Please **MARK** the response which **MOST ACCURATELY** reflects your opinion and include any comments or explanations to the following questions.

(Counts)

1. Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated.		2. The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in the course.	
Options	Count	Options	Count
Strongly Agree	12	Strongly Agree	12
Agree	6	Agree	6
Uncertain	0	Uncertain	0
Disagree	0	Disagree	0
Strongly Disagree	0	Strongly Disagree	0
3. There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students.		4. The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course.	
Options	Count	Options	Count
Strongly Agree	12	Strongly Agree	12
Agree	6	Agree	6
Uncertain	0	Uncertain	0
Disagree	0	Disagree	0
Strongly Disagree	0	Strongly Disagree	0
5. I received feedback that improved my learning in this course.		6. The course challenged me to do my best work.	
Options	Count	Options	Count
Strongly Agree	11	Strongly Agree	10
Agree	5	Agree	7
Uncertain	0	Uncertain	0
Disagree	2	Disagree	1
Strongly Disagree	0	Strongly Disagree	0
7. My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.			
Options	Count		
Strongly Agree	7		
Agree	7		
Uncertain	1		
Disagree	2		
Strongly Disagree	0		

(Comments)

Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated.
Comment
- The prompts were always explained and worded well
The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in the course.
Comment
[No Response]
There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students.
Comment
[No Response]
The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course.
Comment
- I liked how we had conferences to discuss each of our speeches and papers
I received feedback that improved my learning in this course.
Comment
[No Response]
The course challenged me to do my best work.
Comment
[No Response]
My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.
Comment
[No Response]

(Comparator Data)

Question	THIS SECTION			ALL COURSES FOR SUBJECT (RHET)		
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median
Learning outcomes for the course were clearly stated.	4.67	0.49	5.00	4.53	0.76	5.00
The learning outcomes were effectively addressed in the course.	4.67	0.49	5.00	4.54	0.74	5.00
There were constructive interactions between the instructor and the students.	4.67	0.49	5.00	4.57	0.76	5.00
The instructor was accessible for discussions about the course.	4.67	0.49	5.00	4.63	0.63	5.00
I received feedback that improved my learning in this course.	4.39	0.98	5.00	4.53	0.78	5.00
The course challenged me to do my best work.	4.44	0.78	5.00	4.43	0.78	5.00
My experience in the course increased my interest in the subject matter.	4.12	0.99	4.00	4.15	1.04	4.00

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.

(Counts)

How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.	
Options	Count
Very Good	11
Good	5
Fair	0
Poor	0
Very Poor	0

(Comments)

Comment
- Excellent teacher. I felt like she cared about me as her student and cared about the course and what we were doing in class

(Comparator Data)

Question	THIS SECTION			ALL COURSES FOR SUBJECT (RHET)		
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median	Mean	Standard Deviation	Median
How would you rate the overall effectiveness of the instruction in this course.	4.69	0.48	5.00	4.53	0.82	5.00

What did you find to be most beneficial about the course?

Comments
I thought that this course was really good for finding a topic and doing research on it all year. Since we had to pick a topic that we were actually interesting, I really liked that we got to really dive deep into something that is not boring.
All the assignments were spaced out which made it easier to balance our workload. Instructions for each assignment were also clearly stated which left no room for confusion.
having readings already given to us that we could use for our research.
Learning how to write and present a speech
The extensive amount of research and final paper that was the culmination of our course.
the public speaking
I liked the challenges to speak in public as I have never been very comfortable with that
The communication between the professor and student was very helpful, especially one-on-one meetings we had to discuss papers. I didn't love the amount of assignments we had to do throughout the semester, but it made each big assignment more bearable to do in steps.
I liked the detail given on how to give speeches and ways to improve with them.
Great instruction and fun classes
All the time she spent really going over every assignment and all the handouts she took the time to make to help us out!
Doing everything ahead of time! I do not have to worry about this class during finals week!!!! Amazingggggg
The structure was very nice.
Communication and constructive criticism:)

What would have made this course more effective for you?

Comments
I thought that I did not receive much feedback thought this course. I also felt like the time we were present in class most of the time was unnecessary. We should have filled that time more with completion of assignments rather than going over rubrics, etc.
Discussing our readings in class.
More revising over written assignments
NA
having an instructor who was very clear in what was expected in the class
I think the way we wrote papers and made sure everything was perfect before submitting.
I think the course was taught well. Personally, I didn't enjoy having a big assignment due every class period but I understand why it was done that way.
Possibly a little more writing lessons but those were still helpful.
Nothing
Nothing I think it was as effective as it gets, maybe more time between assignments
I would have liked that when we signed up for this specific class, it let you know that it was specifically about the environment.
Having more options for the required annotated bibliography sources would have been beneficial.
-